REMARKS

This is a response to the Advisory Action of July 12, 2010, wherein the proposed amendments were not entered after-final on the grounds that the amendments change/broaden the scope of claim 1 as well as all of the dependent claims. In a phone conversation with the Examiner, Applicant explained that the amendment to claim 1 simply deleted a repeated limitation, and thereby does not change the scope of the claim in any way. The amendment was simply provided to place the claim in better condition for appeal. The Examiner maintained the refusal to enter the amendments. Applicants hereby requests reconsideration of the refusal to enter the amendment to claim 1 under 37 CFR 1.116, on the grounds that the amendment presents the claims in better form for consideration on appeal.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is respectfully submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-14, 16-26, and 28-39) are now in condition for allowance.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Fay Sharpe LLP
7-27-10	Vin Tex
Date	Kimberly A. Textoris, Reg. No. 64,954
	Scott A. McCollister, Reg. No. 33,961
	The Halle Building, 5th Floor
	1228 Euclid Avenue
	Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1843
	216.363.9000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION		
1 hereby certify that this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as being attached or		
enclosed) is (are) being		
deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, addressed to:		
Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA		
22313-1450 on the date indicated below.		
transmitted to the USPTO by -facsimile in accordance with 37 CFR 1.18 on the date indicated below.		
Express Mail Label No.:	Signature: Barbara Bregie	
Date: July 27 2010	Name: Barbara Brazier	

N:\HMNZ\200049US01\kat0000524V001.docx